Measurement of absorbed dose to the skin and its relation with microcircular changes during breast cancer radiotherapy

Master of Science thesis in Medical Radiation Physics

Radiation therapy, 2016-11-17, Chahed Yacoub Gabro





Content

• Aim of the project

Background and Material

Methods

- Phantom study
- Patient study

Results

- Patient studyPhantom study
- Discussion and Conclusion





Aim of the project

- Determine skin dose for breast cancer treatment by *in vitro* and *in vivo* measurements
- Investigate if a possible correlation may exist between the absorbed dose to the skin and the changes in microcirculation of the skin during breast cancer radiotherapy
- Characterisation of the equipment at Linköping University hospital for future studies





Background & Materials

- The dermis begins at a depth of $~\sim 100~\mu m$ and can be up to a few millimetres thick [1]
- Radiation disrupts the self-renewing property of the epidermis
- Today the patients' skin reactions are visually graded



Adapted from M.Well et al. [7]

Gafchromic EBT3 film:

- Similar interaction properties as tissue
- Not angular dependent [2]

Epson Perfection V600 Photo Scanner

Scanner model: J252A



Adapted from D.Lewis et al. [8]





Methods — Anthropomorphic phantom study

- Anthropomorphic female phantom (Model number 702-004, CIRS, Virginia, USA) was planed to a prescribed dose of 2.66 Gy per fraction (16 fractions)
- Treatment plan using 6 MV beam with opposed fields at 124° and 305°
- 21 pieces of film (2x1 cm²) were taped on the left breast and irradiated with all fields applied in the treatment plan











Methods – Patient study

- A female patient was irradiated with prescribed dose of 2.66 Gy in 16 fractions using 6 MV beam at 57° and 234°
- 21 pieces of film (2x1 cm²) were taped on the breast







Methods —Patient study: LSCI and PLS





• Inverse correlation between the speckle contrast *C* and blood perfusion [3]

Polarised Light Spectroscopy

- PLS is based on a digital camera (TiVi600, Wheelsbridge AB, Linköping, Sweden) and measures the RBC concentration (RBCC) in the upper dermis using polarised light
- The TiVi indices are linearly correlated to the concentration of the RBCs in the volume of tissue [4]



 $C \equiv \frac{\sigma}{\overline{I}}$

Ref. [9]



Results – Phantom study

- Absorbed dose range: 0.10-1.68 Gy
- Max dose at film placements 5 and 12 (63.2 %) and min dose at film placement 13 (3.8 %)
- Mainly 45-64 % of the prescribed dose (2.66 Gy) is deposited in the skin. These results are in good agreement with the founding by Almberg *et al.* [5] and Rudat *et al.* [6]







Results – Patient study

- Absorbed dose range: 0.19-1.69 Gy
- Max dose at film placement 12 (63.5 %) and min dose at film placement 13 (7.1 %)
- Similarities in the absorbed doses in the phantom and patient studies





Results – Patient study:

Microcircular changes

- An increase in mean perfusion for all 21 regions
- Highest increase in mean perfusion at placement 1, 2 and 3
- Highest increase in TiVi_{index} for placement 1, 2 and 3 while a decrease for placement 15, 16 and 17
- The reason for why the largest changes appear centrally may be due to the vascular reactivity or the capillary density





Results – Patient study: Pearson's correlation test

- The correlation is referred to as *Pearson's r* :
 - Perfect correlation if r = +1/-1
 - No correlation r = 0

X/Y	Change in mean perfusion/Absorbed dose	Change in TiVi _{index} /Absorbed dose
Pearson's r	0.30	0.48
P-value (two-tailed)	0.18	0.03
Significance ($a = 0.05$)	No	Yes





Discussion and conclusion

- It is difficult to make a concrete error-analysis but one must be aware of the uncertainties related to e.g. the calibration, scanner readout inhomogeneities
- There are biological factors that are difficult to account for: the thickness of the epidermis, the age and general health condition
- More studies should include methods for quantification of the skin reactions instead of using subjective methods
- The midline of the breast receives highest dose
- A good agreement between phantom- and patient study was found
- Future studies should include a larger population, patients with different breast geometries and also mastectomy patients
- Not reliable to draw conclusions about a general correlation from the results in this study due the small population sample. A larger sample must be included in future work





Bibliography

[1] Kry SF, Smith SA, Weathers R, Stovall M. Skin dose during radiotherapy: a summary and general estimation technique. Journal of applied clinical medical physics / American College of Medical Physics. 2012 jan;13(3):3734

[2] Lewis D, Micke A, Yu X, Chan MF. An ecient protocol for radiochromic Im dosimetry combining calibration and measurement in a single scan. Medical Physics. 2012;39(10):6339.

[3] Draijer M, Hondebrink E, Van Leeuwen T, Steenbergen W. Review of laser speckle contrast techniques for visualizing tissue perfusion. Lasers in Medical Science. 2009;24(4):639{651.

[4] O'doherty J, Henricson J, Anderson C, Leahy MJ, Nilsson GE, Sjoberg F. Sub-epidermal imaging using polarized light spectroscopy for assessment of skin microcirculation. SkinResearch and Technology. 2007;13(4):472{484.

[5] Almberg SS, Lindmo T, Frengen J. Supercial doses in breast cancer radiotherapy using conventional and IMRT techniques: A Im-based phantom study. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2011;100(2):259{264.

[6] Rudat V, Nour A, Alaradi AA, Mohamed A, Altuwaijri S. In vivo surface dose measurement using GafChromic Im dosimetry in breast cancer radiotherapy: comparison of 7-eld IMRT, tangential IMRT and tangential 3D-CRT. BioMed Central. 2014;.

Figures

[7] Wells M, MacBride SM. Radiation skin reactions. Supportive care in radiotherapy. 2003;p.135{159.

[8] Lewis DF. A Guide to Radiochromic Film Dosimetry with EBT2 and EBT3. Ashland Specialty Ingredients; 2015.

[9] <u>https://www.perimed-instruments.com/products/pericam-psi</u>, 2016 jun.













- With increased beam energy:
 - Less surface dose
 - Larger depth (in water)
 before CPE is reached
 > increase in z_{max}















Adapted from O'doherty et al.

